nology, which is sponsored by New York State Science and Technology Foundation. Generous support from Japan Halon Co. Ltd., Ajinomoto Co. Inc. and Fuji Chemical Ind. Ltd. is also gratefully acknowledged. I.O. is grateful to Dr.

W. S, Knowles, Monsanto Co., for the generous gift of diPAMP and also to Dr. G. Prescher, Degussa A. G., for generously providing Degphos. We also thank Christine Hanson and Zhaoda Zhang for their technical assistance.

## The Absolute Configuration of Jesromotetrol and Other ent-Rosenes

Antonio G. González, \* José J. Mendoza, Angel G. Ravelo, and Javier G. Luis

Centro de Productos Naturales Orgánicos Antonio González, Universidad de La Laguna, Carretera La Esperanza 2, La Laguna, 38206 Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain

Received November 1, 1988

The absolute configuration of jesromotetrol has been confirmed by the application of X-ray data published by other authors and the CD study of a derivative. The absolute configurations of three new products with rosene skeletons were established from spectral data, chemical transformations, and correlation with compounds with known absolute configurations.

## Introduction

Confusion abounds about diterpenes isolated from Palafoxia. For instance, Bohlmann et al.1 first assigned a pimarane structure to some, later altering the identification to rosane, and, later still, to ent-rosane, arguing that the pimarane and rosane series can be clearly differentiated by their <sup>13</sup>C NMR data. They applied the same reasoning to other structures previously identified<sup>4</sup> as pimaranes. Elsewhere, 5,6 ent-isopimarene diterpenes have also been corrected to ent-rosenes.

In this paper a definitive sabsolute configuration is assigned to jesromotetrol<sup>7,8</sup> and related products isolated from various species of Palafoxia.

Earlier, the absolute configuration of jes romotetrol was given as 17 although the X-ray diffraction data to support this assignment were not published at the time. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of jesromotetrol were analyzed<sup>8</sup> and were taken as confirming the structure. We ourselves reported9 three new products that were correlated with 1. However, the X-ray data for jesromotetrol, when published, only established its relative configurations. 10

In view of the inconclusive nature of these data we decided to study jes romotetrol again in order to determine its absolute configuration. It was oxidized with Jones' reagent to yield a diketo acid 3, from which methyl ester 4 was prepared, identified by its spectroscopic data (IR 3500-2880 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV 265 nm; no vinyl protons and a methyl on a conjugated double bond in <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 1.95 (d, J = 0.5, 3 H)). Compound 3 has an A, B, and C ring junction with

an unsaturated  $\alpha,\beta$ -ketone group in ring A, as do products 6 and 7, earlier studied by circular dichroism<sup>11-13</sup> and which

were ideal for comparison purposes, with a negative Cotton effect at 340 nm and a positive one at around 250 nm, values similar to those shown by 3. The absolute configuration of jesromotetrol was thus established as (3R,4R,8S,9S,10R,13S,15R)-3,15,16,18-tetrahydroxy-entros-5-ene (2), enantiomeric to that previously assigned. All structures correlated with jesromotetrol<sup>9</sup> should be corrected accordingly.

Three products not previously reported were also isolated and were identified as 3-epijesromotetrol (8, (3S,4R,8S,9S,10R,13S,15R)-3,15,16,18-tetrahydroxy-ent-

<sup>(1)</sup> Bohlmann, F.; Zdero, C. Phytochemistry 1979, 18, 115. (2) Bohlmann, F.; Zdero, C. Phytochemistry 1979, 18, 2038.

<sup>(3)</sup> Jakupovic, J.; Schuster, A.; Bohlmann, F.; Sandoz, H.; Dominguez, X. A. Phytochemistry 1987, 26, 2543.

<sup>(4)</sup> Dominguez, X. A.; Jiménez, S. J. Rev. Latinoamer. Quim. 1973, 3, 177

<sup>(5)</sup> Panizo, F. M.; Rodriguez, B.; Valverde, S. An. Quim. 1972, 1463.
(6) Panizo, F. M.; Rodriguez, B.; Valverde, S. An. Quim. 1974, 70, 164.
(7) Dominguez, X. A.; Cisneros, C.; Guajardo, E.; Villareal, R.; Zabel, V.; Watson, W. H. Rev. Latinoamer. Quim. 1978, 9, 99.

<sup>(8)</sup> Smith, W. B. *J. Org. Chem.* 1979, 44, 1631. (9) González, A. G.; Mendoza, J. J.; Luis, J. G.; Ravelo, A. G.;

Dominguez, X. A.; Cano, G. Phytochemistry 1981, 20, 167. (10) Zabel, V.; Watson, W. H.; Dominguez, X. A.; Cisneros, C.; Guajardo, E.; Villareal, R. Acta Crystallogr. 1982, B38, 295.

<sup>(11)</sup> Crabbé, P. In ORD and CDF in Chemistry and Biochemistry; Academic Press: New York, 1972; p 43.

<sup>(12)</sup> Crabbe, P.; Cruz, A.; Iriarte, J. Chem. Ind. 1967, 1522. (13) Crabbé, P.; Cruz, A.; Iriarte, J. Can. J. Chem. 1968, 46, 349.

				· <del>-</del>			
	R <sub>1</sub>	Ra	Ras	R4	R <sub>s</sub>	R.	
8	Н	он	СН₃ОН	ОН	CH₂OH	н	
9	Н	OAc	CH₂OH	OH	СН₂ОН	Н	
10	Н	OH	CH2OAc	ОН	CH <sub>2</sub> OH	Н	
11	н	OAc	CH <sub>≠</sub> OAc	OAc	CH <sub>2</sub> OAc	H	
13	н	OAc	СН⊴ОН	°>°°	— o / CH2	Н	
14	Н	ОН	СН⊴ОАс	0	o CH=	Н	
15	Н	OAc	CH <sub>≥</sub> OAc	°_c-	— 0 CH2	н	
16	н	°	_O CH=	°_c.		Н	
17	н	ОН	СН₃ОН	°_c	0 CH2	Н	
18	ОН	Н	СН₃ОН	°_c	o CH=	Н	
19	OAc	Н	CH₂OAc	ОН	СН⊒ОН	Н	
20	OAc	н	CH <sub>2</sub> OAc	OAc	CH <sub>2</sub> OAc	Н	
21	0	н	CHaQ R1	°_c.	0 CH=	Н	

ros-5-ene) and 9 and 10, two monoacetates of the same epimer series; when 8, 9, and 10 were acetylated with acetic anhydride and pyridine, they gave the same tetraacetate, 11 (Chart I). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data indicate that these compounds are related to jest romotetrol (2), which is confirmed by the oxidation of tetrol 8 with Jones' reagent, leading to the same diketo acid 3 with identical optical activity as the product obtained when jesromotetrol was oxidized. The absolute configurations of the chiral centers, C-8, C-9, C-10, and C-13 were established as S, R, S, and S, respectively, leaving the configuration of C-3, C-4, and C-15 to be determined. The hydroxymethylene group on C-4 in 8 is equatorial since a NOE difference experiment<sup>14</sup> shows H-6 and H-18 to be coplanar as in jesromotetrol (2), and so, the configuration at C-4 must be R. The stereochemistry of the C-3 was determined as S as the geminal proton of the hydroxy group at 3 is axial (1H NMR). C-15 is part of a common 1-2 glycol system found in many natural products. There have been various unsuccessful attempts to determine the absolute configuration of C-15 in the ent-rosanes by simple  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR methods. 15,16 Indirect correlation with jesromotetrol was

Table I. 13C NMR Data for 3, 10, 11, 16, 19, 20, and 21a

	3	10	11	16	19	20	21
C-1	21.3	23.16	22.6	18.8	19.7*	19.2	18.8
C-2	28.3	29.9	26.0	26.4	25.5*	25.1	26.4
C-3	196.0*	71.0	73.1	77.3	73.6	73.1	73.3
C-4	138.5	45.7	43.9	38.9	42.1	41.8	38.1
C-5	147.0	140.3	139.3	138.6	140.2	139.8	138.6
C-6	44.8	119.3	119.8	119.4	120.0	119.5	119.4
C-7	35.1	30.5	29.4	28.7	29.6	29.2	28.7
C-8	37.6	35.6	35.3	35.6	36.0	35.6	35.6
C-9	36.4	34.6	34.7	35.3	35.4	34.9	35.0
C-10	50.7	46.4	46.1	46.3	46.4	46.0	46.3
C-11	36.1	33.6	33.5	33.6	30.8	33.7	33.6
C-12	32.0	29.9	30.2	30.4	29.5	30.3	30.4
C-13	40.1	36.6	36.6	35.0	37.1	36.7	35.3
C-14	36.1	36.1	35.3	35.7	34.3	36.3	35.7
C-15	198.0*	81.1	79.1	84.7	73.6	79.4	84.7
C-16	184.0	62.6	63.1	64.5	63.1	63.3	64.5
C-17	19.7	18.4	18.7	18.4	18.9	18.6	18.4
C-18	-	68.3	64.5	66.5	69.2	68.8	66.5
C-19	11.5*	17.6	19.2	26.2	22.0	21.0	<b>19.</b> 3
C-20	12.7*	12.3	12.3	11.9	12.8	12.3	11.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>δ values are given from DEPT experiments. Values marked with an asterisk (\*) within a column are interchangeable; the most probable values have been given.

applied by eliminating the C-3 configurational difference. Compound 5 was prepared by Cornforth's oxidation<sup>17</sup> from 9 as well as from 18, whose absolute configuration is known. The products obtained show the same optical activity, and so C-15 in 8, 9, and 10 must be R. When 8 was treated with CuSO<sub>4</sub> and acetone, diacetonide 16 was

A primary alcohol monoacetate, 10, was oxidized with Jones' reagent to yield diketo acid 12, which was identified by its spectroscopic data; 10 was consequently assigned the formula 18-acetoxy-(3S,4R,8S,9S,10R,13S,15R)-3,15,16trihydroxy-ent-ros-5-ene. Both 9 and 10, when treated with CuSO<sub>4</sub> in dry acetone, gave the same mixture of acetonides 13 and 14 via a trans-acetylation process already described, and when this mixture was acetylated with acetic anhydride in pyridine, a single compound, 15, was obtained. Compound 9 was accordingly assigned the formula (3S,4R,8S,9S,10R,13S,15R)-3-acetoxy-15,16,18trihydroxy-ent-rosene. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR data shown in Table I agree with the structures proposed, and values have been assigned from DEPT experiments and correlation with literature data,8 the values of the known natural products 19, 20, and 21 being given in the table for comparison purposes.

The mass spectral fragmentation pattern of the entros-5-ene derivatives is in accordance with the structures proposed<sup>18</sup> as is that of the 18-norros-4-ene derivatives.<sup>19</sup>

## **Experimental Section**

Melting points were determined on a Kofler-type apparatus and are uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (200 and 50 MHz) spectra were obtained on Bruker AC80 and WP-SY spectrometers, with CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent. High- and low-resolution MS were collected on a VG-Micromass spectrometer, Model ZAB-2F, at 15 or 70 eV. Optical activities were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. IR spectra were taken on a Perkin-Elmer Model 681 spectrometer using 0.1 mm sodium chloride cells, generally with CHCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent. UV spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 550SE instrument using 1 and 5 mm

<sup>(14)</sup> Derome, A. E. In Modern NMR Techniques for Chemistry Research; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1987; p 113.
(15) Garcia-Alvarez, M. C.; Rodriguez, B. An. Quim. 1982, 78, 213.
(16) Garcia-Alvarez, M. C.; Rodriguez, B. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 1915.

<sup>(17)</sup> Cornforth, R. H.; Cornforth, J. W.; Popják, G. Tetrahedron 1962,

<sup>(18)</sup> Andier, H. E.; Bory, S.; Fetizon, M.; Anh, N. T. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1966, 4002

<sup>(19)</sup> Brown, F. Y.; Djerassi, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 806.

quartz cells and EtOH as solvent. CD curves were recorded on a Jobin-Yvon DIII CD apparatus.

A methanol extract (15 g) of the aerial part of *Palafoxia texana* D.C.A. Gray (Compositae) was chromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 and then rechromatographed on silica gel (60 PF 254) to give the following products in order of increasing polarity: 9 (10 mg); 10 (300 mg); 8 (1300 mg).

3,15-Dioxo-18-nor-ent-ros-4-ene-16-carboxylic Acid (3). Oxidation of 8 (30 mg, 0.09 mmol) with Jones' reagent using acetone as solvent and refluxing for 6 h yielded 3 (15 mg, 0.52 mmol, 52%) after usual workup. Oxidation of 2 under the same conditions also yielded 3: mp 154–156 °C;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$  –18.2°; CD (MeCN)  $\epsilon_{380}$  –1,  $\epsilon_{270}$  +20; IR 3500, 2400, 2910, 1690, 1670, 1470, 1440, 1380, 1360, 1170, 1120 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  1.31 (s, 3 H), 1.95 (d, J = 0.5, 3 H), 2.35 (m, 5 H); MS m/z (rel intensity) 318 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.1), 304 (31), 290 (0.1), 276 (1), 258 (8), 243 (4), 230 (3), 215 (4), 152 (18), 136 (100); calcd mol wt for  $C_{19}H_{26}O_4$  318.1828, found mol wt 318.1874.

3,15-Dioxo-18-nor-ent-ros-4-ene-16-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester (4). Esterification of 3 (11 mg, 0.034 mmol) with diazomethane yielded 4 (11 mg, 0.030 mmol, 88%): amorphous solid; UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (EtOH) 265, 203; IR 2940, 1730, 1450, 1380, 1370, 1240, 1130 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.90 (s, 3 H), 1.26 (s, 3 H), 1.95 (d, J=0.5, 3 H), 3.70 (s, 3 H); MS m/z (rel intensity) 332 (M<sup>+</sup>, 5), 318 (18), 291 (36), 287 (11), 257 (6), 243 (5), 231 (7), 182 (13), 136 (35), 107 (51), 105 (29), 95 (24), 59 (50); calcd mol wt for  $C_{19}H_{26}O_4$  (M<sup>+</sup> – 14) 318.1817, found mol wt. 318.1824.

3 $\beta$ ,15 $\beta$ ,16,18-Tetrahydroxy-ent-ros-5-ene (8): mp 208–210 °C;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$ –12.2° (c 0.15, MeOH); IR (KBr) 3600–3000, 2900, 1450, 1380, 1350, 1075, 1050, 1020, 875 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.65 (s, 3 H), 0.92 (s, 3 H), 1.00 (s, 3 H), 3.33 (dd, J=2.8, 9.3, 1 H), 3.54 (t, J=9.3, 1 H), 3.77 (m, 4 H), 5.48 (br s,  $W_{1/2}=10, 1$  H); MS m/z (rel intensity) 320 (M<sup>+</sup> – 18, 20.6), 289 (38.5), 271 (15.2), 253 (6.5), 243 (12.6), 229 (12.4), 159 (15.4), 145 (24.3), 131 (22.4), 119 (38.5), 105 (48.1); calcd mol wt for  $C_{20}H_{32}O_3$  (M<sup>+</sup> – 18) 320.2280, found mol wt 320.2316.

3 $\beta$ -Acetoxy-15 $\beta$ ,16,18-trihydroxy-ent-ros-5-ene (9): looked like transparent lacquer; IR 3600, 3450, 2910, 1720, 1470, 1380, 1370, 1250, 1090 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.67 (s, 3 H), 0.89 (s, 3 H), 0.91 (s, 3 H), 2.07 (s, 3 H), 3.25, 3.53, 3.72 (overlapping signals) (m, 5 H), 5.00 (dd, J=5, 13, 1 H), 5.71 (br s,  $W_{1/2}=10$ , 1 H); MS m/z (rel intensity) 362 (M<sup>+</sup> – 18, 1), 320 (4), 302 (5), 287 (3), 253 (3), 213 (4), 171 (7), 121 (25), 105 (35), 95 (38); calcd mol wt for  $C_{22}H_{34}O_4$  (M<sup>+</sup> – 18) 362.2259, found mol wt 362.2357.

18-Acetoxy-3 $\beta$ ,15 $\beta$ ,16-trihydroxy-ent-ros-5-ene (10): a white amorphous powder; mp 86–88 °C; IR 3600, 2910, 1720, 1380, 1370, 1250, 1090 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.64 (s, 3 H), 0.91 (s, 3 H), 0.94 (s, 3 H), 2.06 (s, 3 H), 3.31 (dd, J = 9.3, 2.6, 1 H), 3.39 (d, J = 4.2, 1 H), 3.45 (d, J = 4.2, 1 H), 3.53 (t, J = 9.3, 1 H), 3.72 (dd, J = 9.3, 2.6, 1 H), 5.56 (br s,  $W_{1/2}$  = 10, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (see Table); MS m/z (rel intensity) 362 (M<sup>+</sup> – H<sub>2</sub>O, 6), 320 (11), 302 (37), 287 (22), 284 (6), 269 (19), 245 (11), 243 (15), 225 (16), 199 (13), 185 (16), 159 (24), 145 (37), 133 (29), 131 (29), 121 (43), 119 (48), 105 (52); calcd mol wt for  $C_{20}H_{32}O_3$  (M<sup>+</sup> – 60) 320.2349, found mol wt 320.2359.

3β,15β,16,18-Tetraacetoxy-ent-ros-5-ene (11). Esterification of 9 (20 mg) with acetic anhydride in pyridine at room temperature for 24 h yielded 11 (18 mg): mp 158–160 °C:  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +4.5° (c 1.5, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR 3010, 2925, 1725, 1450, 1380, 1360, 1250, 1240, 910 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 0.63 (s, 3 H), 0.97 (s, 3 H), 0.99 (s, 3 H), 1.99 (s, 9 H), 2.07 (s, 3 H), 4.00 (dd, J = 2.3, 11.6, 1 H), 4.02 (br s,  $W_{1/2}$  = 5.2, 2 H), 4.38 (dd, J = 2.3, 11.6, 1 H), 4.75 (dd, J = 4.5, 11.6, 1 H), 4.84 (dd, J = 2.3, 9.13, 1 H), 5.47 (br s,  $W_{1/2}$  = 10, 1 H); MS m/z (rel intensity) 446 (M<sup>+</sup> – 60, 13.9) 386 (32.2), 371 (5.2), 326 (7), 311 (24.2), 266 (8.5), 253 (16.3), 251 (22.7), 145 (16.7), 132 (18.3), 131 (12.4), 119 (23.4), 105 (24.6); calcd mol wt for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>

- 60) 446.2622, found mol wt 446.2640.

3,15-Dioxo-18-acetoxy-ent-ros-5-ene-16-carboxylic Acid (12). Oxidation of 10 (30 mg, 0.08 mmol) with freshly prepared Jones' reagent yielded 12 (23 mg, 0.06 mmol, 74%): IR 3500, 2965, 1700, 1520, 1460, 1230, 1030 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.74 (s, 3 H), 1.20 (s, 3 H), 1.28 (s, 3 H), 1.97 (s, 3 H), 4.19 (d, J = 10.8, 1 H), 4.39 (d, J = 10.8, 1 H), 5.63 (br s,  $W_{1/2}$  = 10, 1 H); MS m/z (rel intensity) 332 (M<sup>+</sup> – 58, 1), 316 (2), 304 (4), 290 (14), 275 (2), 241 (3), 225 (3), 181 (4), 107 (9), 105 (7), 95 (7).

3β-Acetoxy-18-hydroxy-ent-ros-5-ene 15β,16-Acetonide (13) and 18-Acetoxy-3β-hydroxy-ent-ros-5-ene 15β,16-Acetonide (14). The acetonides were formed by treating 10 (10 mg, 0.026 mmol) with anhydrous CuSO<sub>4</sub> (90 mg) and refluxing with dry acetone as solvent for 2 h. The isomers 13 and 14 were obtained in a 4:6 mixture (10 mg, 0.024 mmol, 90%). The mixture was not separated:  $^{1}$ H NMR δ 0.65 (s, 3 H), 0.68 (s, 3 H), 0.89 (s, 3 H), 0.90 (s, 6 H), 0.99 (s, 3 H), 1.34 (s, 6 H), 1.40 (s, 6 H), 2.07 (s, 3 H), 2.08 (s, 3 H), 3.40 (dd, J = 4.5, 11.5, 1 H), 3.77 (m, 6 H), 4.07 (overlapping signals) (d, J = 11.5, 1 H), 4.47 (d, J = 11.5, 1 H), 5.57 (br s,  $W_{1/2} = 10, 1$  H), 5.72 (br s,  $W_{1/2} = 10, 1$  H).

3β,18-Diacetoxy-ent-ros-5-ene 15β,16-Acetonide (15). Ten milligrams of the 4:6 mixture 13 + 14 was acetylated with acetic anhydride and pyridine to give 15: mp 152–154 °C: IR 2900, 1710, 1425, 1370, 1360, 1225, 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR δ 0.67 (s, 3 H), 0.90 (s, 3 H), 1.02 (s, 3 H), 1.35 (s, 3 H), 1.41 (s, 3 H), 2.02 (s, 3 H), 2.03 (s, 3 H), 3.76 (m, 2 H), 3.80 (t, J = 0.5, 1 H), 4.07 (d, J = 4, 2 H), 4.82 (dd, J = 4.6, 11.5, 1 H), 5.51 (br s,  $W_{1/2} = 10$ , 1 H); MS m/z (rel intensity) 462 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.1), 447 (2), 402 (5), 360 (1), 342 (13), 327 (4), 284 (13), 271 (9), 171 (9), 119 (5), 105 (36), calcd mol wt for  $C_{27}H_{42}O_6$  462.2981, found mol wt 462.2991.

ent-Ros-5-ene 3 $\beta$ ,18:15 $\beta$ ,16-Diacetonide (16). This biketal was prepared by dissolving tetrol 8 (86 mg, 0.25 mmol) in dry acetone, adding anhydrous CuSO<sub>4</sub> (200 mg), and then refluxing for 2 h. Compound 16 (85 mg, 0.20 mmol, 80%) was obtained: mp 215-217 °C; IR 2910, 1450, 1440, 1370, 1360, 1240, 1230, 1190, 1150, 1080, 1050, 1020, 850 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.64 (s, 3 H), 0.87 (s, 3 H), 1.17 (s, 3 H), 1.31 (s, 3 H), 1.37 (s, 3 H), 1.40 (s, 6 H), 3.54 (dd, J = 6, 8.8, 1 H), 3.72 (m, 3 H), 3.86 (d, J = 11.4, 2 H), 5.13 (br s,  $W_{1/2}$  = 10, 1 H); MS m/z (rel intensity) 403 (M<sup>+</sup> - 15, 15), 360 (34), 258 (46), 243 (21), 213 (28), 145 (15), 138 (10), 133 (11), 130 (11), 120 (18), 119 (12), 118 (18), 109 (15), 108 (17), 107 (24), 105 (25), 101 (100), 95 (16), 79 (18).

3-Oxo-18-nor-ent-ros-4-ene 15 $\beta$ ,16-Acetonide (5). Compound 18 (14 mg, 0.037 mmol), obtained from the mixture of 13 + 14 by hydrolysis, was oxidated with Cornforth's reagent for 12 h at room temperature and then refluxed for 2 h with acetone as solvent to give 5 (5 mg, 0.014 mmol, 40%):  $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$  –5° (c 0.02, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (EtOH) 227, 278, 285 nm; IR 2960, 1740, 1670, 1450, 1360, 1230, 1040 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.86 (s, 3 H), 0.93 (s, 3 H), 1.26 (s, 3 H), 1.35 (s, 3 H), 1.41 (s, 3 H), 1.95 (d, J = 2.5, 3 H), 2.36 (m, 4 H), 3.69 (d, J = 5, 1 H), 3.78 (s, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 5, 1 H); MS m/z (rel intensity) 346 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1), 285 (1), 279 (6), 265 (2), 201 (2), 189 (1), 177 (2), 167 (18), 149 (100), 137 (6), 136 (6), 121 (5), 113 (4), 107 (6), 104 (7), 101 (26), 91 (7), 79 (12), 71 (14), 70 (11), 69 (11), 57 (36), 55 (31); calcd mol wt for  $C_{22}H_{34}O_{3}$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 346.2619, found mol wt 346.2609.

Acknowledgment. This study has been partly subsidized by the AIETI (Madrid) and CAICYT Grants PB85-0171 and 0694-84. J.J.M. is indebted to the Gobierno Autonomo and CajaCanarias for a research grant.

**Registry No. 2**, 67911-60-8; **3**, 119769-55-0; **4**, 119769-56-1; **5**, 119769-57-2; **8**, 119769-58-3; **9**, 119769-59-4; **10**, 119769-60-7; **11**, 119769-61-8; **12**, 119769-62-9; **13**, 119769-63-0; **14**, 119769-64-1; **15**, 119769-65-2; **16**, 119817-56-0; **18**, 119769-66-3.